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Focus of this Presentation

- Nature of Special & Differential Treatment provisions in the WTO Agreements
- Problems with the existing S&D provisions
- Doha work programme on S&D
- Current status
Introduction

• GATT established in 1947
• No formal recognition of any difference between the contracting parties (CP’s)
• Fundamental principle of GATT was that all rights and obligations should be applied uniformly to all CP’s
• Even though 11 out of the original 23 CP’s would today be classified as developing countries, they participated as equal partners
S&D treatment in the UR

• A number of basic conceptual premises related to S&D treatment emerged during the UR, namely that
  – dc’s are intrinsically disadvantaged in their participation in international trade
  – any multilateral agreement must take this into account when specifying dc’s rights and obligations
  – trade policies that maximise sustainable development in one country may not necessarily do so in another
  – it is in the interest of developed countries to assist dc’s in their integration into the MTS
S&D treatment in the UR

- These underlying conceptual premises resulted in the following kinds of S&D provisions in the UR
  - provisions aimed at increasing trade opportunities (12)
  - provisions which call upon WTO Members to safeguard the interest of dc’s (49)
  - provisions offering flexibility of commitments (30)
  - Transitional time periods (18)
  - provisions related to technical assistance (14)
  - provisions in favour of least developed countries (22)
Some concerns with S&D

A number of developing countries have said that the existing S&D treatment provisions have a number of shortcomings because they are mainly in the form of

- provisions employing discretionary language
- ‘best endeavour clauses’
- de facto non-binding, but yet mandatory provisions

and only a few are

- mandatory and binding provisions
Examples of S&D

I. Provisions employing purely discretionary language

➢ “contracting parties *may* accord differential and more favourable treatment to developing countries” (Enabling Clause para1)

II. Best Endeavour Clauses

➢ “Members *agree to facilitate* the provisions of technical assistance to other Members, especially developing country Members” (Article 9 of SPS)

➢ key words: urged, to the extent possible, if conditions allow etc.
Examples of S&D

III. De facto non-binding, mandatory provisions

- developed countries shall to the fullest extent possible accord high priority to the reduction and elimination of barriers (GATT XXXVII:1)

- Members shall take account of the special needs of developing country members in preparation and application of new SPS measures (Article 10.1 SPS agreement)

IV. Mandatory provisions
The Doha Declaration

• Ministers at Doha, recognising that problems existed with respect to S&D treatment set out a work programme in para 44 of the declaration,
  – reaffirms that SDT is an integral part of the WTO
  – notes that there are concerns about the implementation of S&D provisions
  – directs that **all** S&D provisions should be reviewed to strengthen them and to make them more **precise**, **effective** and **operational**, and
  – also links this work to the decision taken on ‘Implementation related Issues and concerns’
The Doha Declaration

- The decision on ‘Implementation related Issues and concerns’ mandates the CTD
  - to identify the S&D provisions which should be made mandatory and the implications of this
  - to examine additional ways to make SDT more effective
  - to report to the GC with recommendations by July 2002
  - to consider how SDT could be incorporated into the architecture of WTO rules.
Current Status of the Work Programme

• The first phase of this work programme has seen a very large number of Agreement specific proposals being submitted by dc’s, including by the African group and the LDC’s.

• Some Members have also raised a number of systemic issues including those related to principles and objectives of SDT, utilisation, graduation, universal vs. differentiated treatment.
Current Status of the Work Programme

• In its report to the General Council in July 2002 the CTD detailed the above status and sought some more time to complete the mandated work.

• The GC agreed and asked the CTD to complete its work by December 2002, later extended to February 2003.

• Satisfactory progress still not having been made, the CTD in its report in February 2003 recommended that the GC provide a clarification on the means to give effect to the Doha mandate.
Current Status of the Work Programme

- The Chairman of the General Council, who was then asked to hold consultations, put forward an approach based on two fundamental premises:
  
  - all proposals would be addressed without prejudice to the outcome, and that
  
  - an informal categorization of the proposals was necessary to make the work more efficient.

- Accordingly, he divided all the 88 Agreement-specific proposals divided into three categories.
Current Status of the Work Programme

- Category I includes the 38 proposals on which it seems that a positive outcome could be possible.
- Category II is comprised of 37 proposals made in areas that are currently under negotiation, or are otherwise being considered by other WTO bodies.
- Category III is comprised of 10 proposals on which there appears to be a wide divergence of views.
Current Status of the Work Programme

- The Chairman of the GC is holding consultations to address the proposals in category I.
- This is on the understanding that Members would engage substantively in this work, and be willing, if necessary, to consider changing the existing balance of rights and obligations.
- At the same time, the proposals in category II have been referred to the relevant negotiating or technical bodies.